BEFORE THE NEBRASKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Nebraska) Application No. NUSF-92.07
Public Service Commission, on)
its own motion, seeking to)
administer the Nebraska)
Universal Service Fund's) GRANTED
Broadband Program: Application)
to the Nebraska Broadband)
Program Received from Glenwood)
Telephone Company.) Entered: June 24, 2014

APPEARANCES:

For Glenwood Telephone Company:

Andrew S. Pollock Rembolt Ludtke LLP 1201 Lincoln Mall Suite #102 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

For N.E. Colorado Cellular, Inc. d/b/a Viaero Wireless:

Loel P. Brooks BROOKS, PANSING BROOKS, PC, LLO 1248 O Street, Suite 984 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-1424

For Arapahoe Telephone Company:

Jack Besse Knapp, Fangmeyer, Aschwege, Besse & Marsh, P.C. 1323 Central Avenue Kearney, Nebraska 68848

For CenturyLink:

Jill Vinjamuri Gettman Gettman & Mills, LLP 10250 Regency Circle Suite 350 Omaha, Nebraska 68114

For Charter Communications:

Loel P. Brooks BROOKS, PANSING BROOKS, PC, LLO 1248 O Street, Suite 984 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-1424

For Nebraska Central Telephone Company, Consolidated Telephone Company, Eastern Nebraska Telephone Company, Rock County Telephone Company, Cable TV Company of Stanton, Cambridge Telephone Company, HunTel CableVision, Inc. d/b/a HunTel Communications & Great Plains Communications:

Paul M. Schudel WOODS AITKEN, LLP 301 South 13th Street, Suite #500 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

For Raicom, Inc., Southeast Nebraska Communications & Diode Cable:

Andrew S. Pollock Rembolt Ludtke LLP 1201 Lincoln Mall Suite #102 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

For Pinpoint Wireless, Inc. d/b/a BLAZE Wireless:

Russell Westerhold Fraser Stryker Law Offices 409 South 17th Street #500 Omaha, Nebraska 68102

For Windstream Communications & United States Cellular Corporation:

Deonne Bruning
Deonne Bruning PC LLO
2901 Bonacum Drive
Lincoln, Nebraska 68502

For the Commission:

Shana Knutson 1200 N Street, Suite 300 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509

BY THE COMMISSION:

Background

By Application filed February 3, 2014, Glenwood Telephone Company ("Glenwood" or "Applicant") seeks Nebraska Broadband Program (NEBP) support. Notice of the Application appeared in the <u>Daily Record</u>, Omaha, Nebraska on February 7, 2014. A Petition of Formal Intervention was filed by Charter Communications and N.E. Colorado Cellular, Inc. d/b/a Viaero Wireless on February 27, 2014. On May 20, 2014, Charter withdrew its Formal Intervention.

A staff recommendation was filed on April 22, 2014. Prefiled testimony was filed by Glenwood on May 9, 2014.

On June 10, 2014, the Commission held a public hearing on the application in Lincoln, Nebraska. For administrative

efficiency, the hearing was consolidated with the hearings in NUSF-92.01 through NUSF-92.06 and NUSF-92.08 through NUSF-92.16. Mr. Andy Pollock entered an appearance on behalf of the Applicant. Mr. Loel Brooks entered an appearance on behalf of N.E. Colorado Cellular, Inc. d/b/a Viaero Wireless.

Project Overview

Applicant is a competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC) and a subsidiary of Glenwood Telephone Membership Corporation. Glenwood's principal office is located in Blue Hill, Nebraska. Applicant sought broadband support for two proposed broadband projects identified as the Nelson and Superior projects.

Applicant provided a project timeline, a proposed budget showing project costs, a 25 percent match, and a detailed cost elements. breakdown of the Applicant provided depreciation schedule showing the life of the investment. Applicant provided a copy of it latest financial report to demonstrate financial qualifications to complete its portion of addition, project. proposed In Applicant provided information detailing the proposed project coverage in census blocks.

Staff Analysis

The Commission staff analyzed each proposed project including the two projects filed by Glenwood. The staff first reviewed the application to determine whether the eligibility requirements had been met. Next, the staff reviewed the application to determine whether all application requirements listed in Progression Order No. 5 were fulfilled. Each project was then scored.

To score this application, the Commission staff took various pieces of information directly from the applications submitted for each project and utilized in factor development. This data included; retail monthly recurring and nonrecurring end-user rates for the provisioning of broadband service; the speed (Mbps) of the respective service being offered; and the total grant request amount for each project.

Additionally, the staff obtained various other data, from publicly available sources, also used in factor development. This data included: residential monthly recurring rates for voice service and subscriber line charges, if applicable; 1

¹ Company specific publicly filed tariffs.

population and household by census $\operatorname{block}_{i}^{2}$ area by census $\operatorname{block}_{i}^{3}$ and $\operatorname{broadband}$ availability.

The Commission staff then utilized a group assignment to prioritize areas determined as unserved, busing the broadband mapping data as a starting point for its review. Staff triaged the projects into categories, or groups, based on the nature of each area being served and the total cost of each project. Any project comprised completely of unserved areas received a Group Assignment value of one (1). If not identified as Group Assignment one, the highest scoring project submitted by each applicant received a Group Assignment of two (2) All remaining projects, containing some hybrid of various levels of unserved and underserved areas, received a Group Assignment of three (3) through five (5), based on the total cost of the project.

The Commission staff noted the dramatic increase in the number of projects submitted resulting in demand which significantly outpaced supply. As such, the Commission staff utilized total cost in the determination of the group assignment in an effort to advance the objectives of the NEBP; expanding broadband service availability to the greatest number of Nebraskans. The staff's group assignment created a priority hierarchy. Within each hierarchy, the project score assigns rank based on the criteria described in the Staff Recommendation.

As a result, the staff recommended approving Glenwood's application by recommending support for two projects, identified as the Superior and Nelson projects, in the amount of \$382,868.

² United States Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1.

³ United States Census Bureau, 2010 Tiger/Line Shapefiles.

 $^{^4}$ State Broadband Initiative Broadband Mapping Data, April 2014 submission.

⁵ See In the Matter of the Petition of the Nebraska Telecommunications Association for Investigation and Review of Processes and Procedures Regarding the Nebraska Universal Service Fund, Docket No. NUSF-77, Progression Order No. 5, ORDER at 7 (November 21, 2011).

 $^{^{6}}$ All projects filed for the NEBP in 2014 are independent of all other projects filed by the same applicant.

See In the Matter of the Petition of the Nebraska Telecommunications Association for Investigation and Review of Processes and Procedures Regarding the Nebraska Universal Service Fund, Docket No. NUSF-77, Progression Order No. 7, ORDER at 5 (January 15, 2013)(describing the NUSF Act's goal to ensure all Nebraskans have comparable access to advanced services).

Hearing

Ms. Sue Vanicek, Director of the Department presented testimony at the hearing relative to the recommended adjustments to funding amounts. She testified that the Department reviewed each application and identified certain costs which should be excluded. Ms. Vanicek's testimony was offered and received into the record as Exhibit No. 22.

Mr. Tyler Frost, the staff Economist presented testimony related to the staff's methodology for recommending NEBP support. Mr. Frost provided further details about how the staff reviewed and scored each application. Mr. Frost's testimony was offered and received into the record as Exhibit No. 23.

Mr. Stanley Rouse, General Manager for Glenwood, testified in support of Glenwood's application. Mr. Rouse's pre-filed testimony described the proposed projects recommended broadband support and his support for the Commission staff's recommendation. Mr. Rouse's pre-filed direct testimony evidence Exhibit No. 35. received into as Glenwood's application was received into evidence as Exhibit No. 9. Mr. Rouse stated approval of the Nelson and Superior projects would bring broadband service to underserved areas of the state. The targeted locations will have broadband service packages with minimum speeds of 4 Mbps downstream and 1 Mbps upstream.

OPINION AND FINDINGS

On June 14, 2011, the Commission issued Progression Order No. 3 in NUSF-77, finding that making explicit NUSF support available for broadband deployment would complement the Commission's existing goal to support networks that provide voice service as well as advanced services. In addition, the Commission found promoting ubiquitous broadband availability was a state and federal responsibility which would require both state and federal financial support. Through further progression orders the Commission adopted criteria for the NEBP program eligibility, application requirements, and provided a timeline for the consideration of NEBP projects.

Based on the application and the evidence in the record, the Commission finds Glenwood's application for NEBP program support should be approved consistent with the staff recommendation. The Commission approves support for the Superior and Nelson projects up to an amount of \$382,868 or the actual cost of construction for the two projects whichever amount is lower.

No party testified in opposition to the Glenwood request for NEBP support as recommended by the Commission staff.

Reimbursement Process:

Glenwood must first make the investment and then may file a request for reimbursement with the NTIPS Department. Glenwood does not need to complete the construction process prior to seeking reimbursement; rather, it may work with the NTIPS Department to develop intervals at which reimbursement can be sought. The NEBP program will reimburse Glenwood for reasonable expenditures made related to project specifications detailed in the application and approved in this Order. Once the investment is made, Glenwood shall file a request for support, provide the NTIPS Department with copies of the invoices or other acceptable documentation and shall certify to the Department that it had made the described investment for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services in the area defined in the application.

As a NEBP program recipient, the Commission finds Glenwood must meet the following conditions:

- 1. The supported broadband service upon completion of the deployment must be available as a service offering to all households within the area defined by the application for a minimum period of five (5) years;
- 2. The project must be completed within 24 months from the date of this Order unless otherwise extended by the Commission;
- 3. Voice grade service must be available to customers within the service area of the broadband deployment;
- 4. Access to emergency services must be available to customers within the service area of broadband deployment;
- 5. Broadband support must only be used for the purposes intended and which have been approved by the Commission;
- 6. Voice and broadband service in the area described in its application must be offered at reasonably comparable rates for comparable services it offers in urban areas;
- 7. Reporting, verification, and audit requirements adopted by the Commission for oversight of the NEBP program must be met; and
- 8. Adherence to all applicable Commission rules, regulations and orders.

⁸ The Commission will provide the Department with the discretion to determine the type of documentation needed to verify the expenditures made when not provided through a third-party invoice.

Continuing Nature of Requirements:

The Commission will enforce these requirements throughout the period of the grant and the five (5) year period following the completion of the project to ensure the Commission's objectives for this program are being met. Glenwood shall notify the Commission in writing once the project(s) have been completed and the proposed broadband service is being offered. The Commission may take any action it deems necessary and appropriate to enforce the requirements and conditions in this Order.

To encourage public awareness about the deployment of broadband service in Nebraska, we find Glenwood should be required to report broadband availability to the Commission and its broadband mapping vendor for the duration of the State Broadband Data and Development (SBDD) Program.

These opinions and findings carry no precedential value other than establishing minimum standards and criteria the Commission applied in this application for NEBP program support. The Commission may modify the minimum requirements and conditions for future petitions for support from the NEBP program.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Nebraska Public Service Commission that the Application filed by Glenwood Telephone Company shall be, and it is hereby, granted to the extent provided herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Applicant shall comply with requirements set forth herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Applicant shall submit detailed and accurate invoices and other documentation required by the Department prior to reimbursement for the supported project(s).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Applicant shall use Nebraska Broadband Program support only for the purposes described in its application and authorized by the Commission.

MADE AND ENTERED at Lincoln, Nebraska, this $24^{\rm th}$ day of June, 2014.

NEBRASKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS CONCURRING:

Chairman

ATTEST:

Executive Director

SECRETARY'S RECORD, NEBRASKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Application No. NUSF-92.07

Page 8

MADE AND ENTERED at Lincoln, Nebraska, this $24^{\rm th}$ day of June, 2014.

NEBRASKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS CONCURRING:

ATTEST:

For the Discontinu

//s//Frank E. Landis